UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,584	04/14/2004	Lawrence D. Weiss	036167-0104	6211
	7590 07/07/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500			FLEISCHER, MARK A	
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/823,584	WEISS, LAWRENCE D.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MARK A. FLEISCHER	3624	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
The amendment document filed on <u>18 March 2009</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the equirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following tem(s) is required.					
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMEN 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include mark B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined C. Other	ings.				
2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFRB. Other	. 1.72.				
"Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1 B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing	he top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or I.121(d). g correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings s, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.				
C. Each claim has not been provided with the posterior of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the number by using one of the following status (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered)	oresent. ext of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status ne status of every claim must be indicated after its claim s identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), d), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). not been presented in ascending numerical order.				
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not sig	ned in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):				
For further explanation of the amendment format required by	37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.				
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:					
 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-complia filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the r entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 	nt amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the				
Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.					
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action.					
filed in response to a Quayle action; or	nt amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment				
/Mark A Fleischer/	/Bradley B Bayat/				
Examiner, Art Unit 3624	Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3624				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-324 (01-06)

Continuation of 4E- Other: The amendments do not comply with the requirements of MPEP Section 714 as the text of the amended claims do not properly indicate newly inserted text. For example, the amendments to claim 1 contain two limitations beginning with the term "prompting..." which is not underlined thus indicating they were in the originally filed claims, yet no such terms were in the originally filed claim 1 while one limitation beginning with the same term is underlined. There also appears to be a redundant or repeated claim limitation. Applicant should carefully check the claim amendments as to other claims for similar issues.